

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,987	02/22/2002	Ka K. Ho	2204/C25	9964
34845	7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
STEUBING AND MCGUINESS & MANARAS LLP 125 NAGOG PARK ACTON, MA 01720			TON, DANG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/081,987	HO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	DANG T TON	2666			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2002.				
	s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,7-11, and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-6,12 and 14-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/2003</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2666

1. Claims 1-12 are objected to under 37 C.F.R 1.75 because of the following formalities:

In claim 1 line 4, "establishedand" should change to --- established and ---.

Claims 2-12 are objected since they depend from claim 1.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu (6,363,319).

For claims 1,2,and 9, Hsu discloses a system comprising:

a plurality of MPLS devices (see boxes 122 B1 to 122 BN in
figure 1B), wherein a plurality of service tiers having

Application/Control Number: 10/081,987 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

different combinations of class of traffic and level of service are established and traffic is separated by at least one MPLS device based upon the plurality of service tiers (see column 1 lines 50-59);

wherein a plurality of label switched path resource classes are reserved for signaling the plurality of service tiers (see column 1 lines 50-59); and

wherein the plurality of MPLS devices comprises a standard MPLS device configured to separate traffic for each service tier (see column 2 lines 50-57 and column 3 lines 1-9).

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,8,10,11,and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in view of Elliott et al. (6,614,781).

For claims 7,8,10,11, and 13, Hsu discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of establishing a queue for each service tier and a multiple levels of service for a single class of traffic in a communications network. Elliott et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a provision of creating a plurality of queues in order to protect database integrity high, medium and low priority queue (see column 89 lines 36-37). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use establishing a queue for each

Application/Control Number: 10/081,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 2666

service tier and a multiple levels of service for a single class of traffic as taught by Elliott et al. in the communications network of Hsu.

The establishing a queue for each service tier and a multiple levels of service for a single class of traffic can be implemented/modified into the network of Hsu by using the central server in figure 1A to perform this scheduling scheme. The motivation for using establishing a queue for each service tier and a multiple levels of service for a single class of traffic as taught by Elliott et al. into the communications network of Hsu being that it protects data integrity in the system and provides a simple and efficient method to select routes in a system of networks.

- 5. Claims 3-6 ,12, and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - 6. Claims 19-22 are allowed.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2666

Chiu et al. (6,385,170) is cited to show a system which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANG T TON whose telephone number is 571-272-3171. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-WED, 5:30 AM-6:00 PM and Thur 5:30-9:30 A.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,987

Art Unit: 2666

D. Ton

Page 7

DAME TON

REMEANE VARIAN